## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

| Filed b | y the R   | egistrant ⊠  | Filed by a party other than the Registrant $\ \Box$  |     |  |
|---------|---|--|--|-----|--|
| Check   | the app   | ropriate box:  |  |     |  |
|         | Prelimi   | reliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) |  |     |  |
|         | Confid  |  |  |     |  |
|         | Definiti  | ive Proxy Statement  |  |     |  |
| ⊠ l     | Definiti  | ve Additional Materials  |  |     |  |
|         | Solicitii   | ng Material Pursuant to  | 240.14a-12   |     |  |
|         |   | Cra  | cker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)   |     |  |
|         |   |  | (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)   |     |  |
| Paymei  | nt of Fil   | ling Fee (Check the app  | opriate box):  |     |  |
| × i     | No fee  | o fee required.  |  |     |  |
|         | Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.  |  |  |     |  |
| (       | (1) T   | Title of each class of secu  | rities to which transaction applies:   |     |  |
| (       | (2) A   | aggregate number of sec  | urities to which transaction applies:  |     |  |
| (       |   | er unit price or other un  | lerlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fe<br>t was determined): | e i |  |
| (       | (4) P   | Proposed maximum aggr  | gate value of transaction:   |     |  |
| (       | (5) T   | otal fee paid:   |  |     |  |
|         | Fee paid  | d previously with prelim   | nary materials.  |     |  |
|         | Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. |  |  |     |  |
| (       | (1) A   | amount Previously Paid:  |  |     |  |
| (       | (2) F   | orm, Schedule or Regis   | ration Statement No.:  |     |  |
| (       | (3) F   | iling Party:   |  |     |  |

Date Filed:



| On October 18, 2012, after 5 p.m. Central Time, representatives of Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. delivered the following letter to representatives of Biglari Holdings Inc.: |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |



Howard H. Lamar III

PHONE: (615) 742-6209 FAX: (615) 742-2709

E-MAIL: hlamar@bassberry.com

150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800 Nashville, TN 37201 (615) 742-6200

October 18, 2012

Steve Wolosky, Esq. Michael R. Neidell, Esq. Olshan Frome Wolosky LLP Park Avenue Tower 65 East 55<sup>th</sup> Street New York, New York 10022

Re: Demand Pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated Section 48-26-102

Dear Messrs. Wolosky and Neidell:

We write on behalf of our client, Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. ("Cracker Barrel" or the "Company"), in response to Mr. Wolosky's letter dated October 18, 2012 (the "Supplemental Demand Letter"). The Supplemental Demand Letter is in response to the Company's October 15, 2012 response (the "Company's Response") to the initial demand made on behalf of your client, Biglari Holdings Inc. ("Biglari Holdings"), pursuant to T.C.A. § 48-26-102. The Company disagrees with the assertions contained in the Supplemental Demand Letter for reasons that already have been directly addressed.

The Supplemental Demand Letter does not seriously dispute that Biglari Holdings' demand fails to comply with T.C.A. § 48-26-102. As set forth in the Company's Response, the statute clearly delineates the categories of books and records that a shareholder is entitled to inspect, and Biglari Holdings' repeated demands bear no reasonable relation to the inspection rights provided by the statute. The Company has answered any questions regarding James W. Bradford's qualifications and experience in a fully transparent and comprehensive manner, and has provided Biglari Holdings not only with the materials the Company would have been obligated to produce had Biglari Holdings' demand complied with the requirements of T.C.A. § 48-26-102, but also with additional information relating to Mr. Bradford's service on the Company's Board of Directors that it had no obligation to produce under the statute. As such, the Company has gone well above and beyond what is required by law in order to satisfy your client. The Company views Biglari Holdings' continued demands on matters that the Company

bassberry.com

October 18, 2012 Page 2

has already fully addressed to be no more than a wasteful effort to manufacture an issue in order to seek advantage in Biglari Holdings' proxy contest. The Company believes that no further response is warranted.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Howard H. Lamar III Howard H. Lamar III

Sc: Michael J. Zylstra, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary Sandra B. Cochran, President and Chief Executive Officer Michael A. Woodhouse, Executive Chairman of the Board Steven A. Rosenblum, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz